

Deliverable N^o 10

MATERIALS FOR CITIZEN'S PARTICIPATION

EUBIA

01/09/2019

This document describes the design and development of the firsts materials for citizen's participation.

MATERIALS FOR CITIZEN'S PARTICIPATION

ACTION E.1: Dissemination planning and execution





INDEX

1. Introduction.....	5
2. Background.....	6
3. Objectives.....	7
5. Methodology and description of the activities	8
6. Results and conclusion	9
ANNEX I: Questions form mentimeter and paper queationnaire	13
ANNEX II: Support material.....	17

Deliverable 1; name: *Materials for citizen's participation*

Beneficiary responsible: EUBIA

Action E1: Dissemination planning and execution

From month 1 – month 6

Name of the Deliverable	Number of associated action	Deadline
<i>Materials for citizen's participation</i>	E1	09/2019



1. Introduction

This Deliverable develops the material for citizen's participation for a very particular workshop whose main goal was on the one hand, to promote the project among future potential users, and on the other hand to receive feedback that contributes to the design of the DSS tool. Thus, this material should be particularly adapted to the targeted audience so they can feel the message is being especially delivered to them. With this particularity in mind, it is possible that when developing similar actions into other sites, this material will be modified according to the local audience.



2. Background

The previous work used in this Deliverable is the logo developed by EUBIA, which was included into the participation material.



3. Objectives

The goal of the Deliverable is designing and developing material for the first citizen's participation action.

5. Methodology and description of the activities

1.- Analysis of the targeted audience and the desired message: at this point of the project, the team considered as the best strategy targeting practitioners that can contribute to the development of the DSS tool from the very beginning at the same time that can give us an idea about how forest management is currently being considered and implemented.

2.- Designing the workshop: duration, agenda, location, points to discuss and participation level. This design is extremely important as it conditions the success of the project. To that end, several meetings between UPV and EUBIA were necessary to generate a proper design.

3.- Development and design of the support material: presentations and speeches.

4.- Development and design of the participatory material: here EUBIA together with UPV designed both, digital participation and paper. Digital participation is used to facilitate the participation by being it anonymous while paper participation is used because more questions can be answered in less time.

For the digital participation the tool “mentimeter (www.menti.com) is used. It is a tool where the users can answer the questions online and being anonymous. The answers are lively shown and therefore can be discussed at the moment.

All the material is prepared in Spanish language as both, the targeted public and the audience are from Spain.

6. Results and conclusion

1.- The team decided to start this kind of interaction with the public forest administration of Valencian Government for being Serra the first case study.

2.- Designing the workshop: the participatory workshop was designed with a duration of 4 hours, with an intermediate coffee break. The agenda is:

1. Welcome
2. 2 firsts questions with the tool mentimeter
3. Antonio del Campo talk: eco-hydrological silviculture
4. Alberto García-Prats talk: tools for forest management
5. 2 questions with the tool mentimeter
6. María González-Sanchis talk: LIFE RESILIENTFORESTS
7. Question with mentimeter
8. Coffe break
9. Participation LIFE RESILIENTFORESTS
 1. Brief presentation
 2. Discussion about answer from mentimeter's questions
 3. Case study
 4. Last mentimeter question
 5. Questionary about the workshop
 6. Closing

3.- Development and design of the support material: see Annex II

4.- Development and design of the participatory material: see Annex I

RESULTS:

3 workshops were developed with this material, and a total of 30 participants were registered. The results from the questions with mentimeter are shown in the next figures:




Figure 1: The answers to the question: ¿Which do you think are the main forest ecosystem services? The answers are expressed as %.




Figure 1: The answers to the question: ¿Which do you think are the main challenges of forest management? The answers are expressed as %.



Figure 1: The answers to the question: ¿What do you think should be the main goals of forest management? The answers are expressed as %.




Figure 1: The answers to the question: ¿What should be the scale when implementing sustainable forest management? The answers are expressed as %.




Figure 1: The answers to the question: Rate from 1 to 5 the main obstacles of forest management The answers are expressed as %.

The last question was to value the DSS tool, were 7.5/10 was obtained.

The analysis of these results, which will be carried out in action C1, will contribute to the development of the DSS tool adapted to the real needs of forest managers.

ANNEX I: Questions form mentimeter and paper questionnaire



¿Cuáles crees que deben de ser los objetivos prioritarios de la gestión forestal? Marca 4



¿Cuál/cuáles crees que sería la escala de trabajo deseable para realizar una gestión forestal sostenible? Selecciona las que consideres apropiadas.



Valore de 0 a 10 la utilidad de la herramienta propuesta para la gestión forestal sostenible



Questionnaire about the workshop:



Coupling water, fire and climate resilience with biomass production in
Forestry to adapt watersheds to climate change (LIFE17 CCA/ES/000063)

JORNADAS DE GESTIÓN FORESTAL ANTE EL CAMBIO CLIMÁTICO (UPV-GVA)

FECHA: 03/05/2019

LUGAR: Castellón

ENCUESTA

Por favor responde a las siguientes preguntas:

1.- Empresa en la que trabajas:

2.- Cargo que desempeñas

3.- Edad:

4.- Sexo:

Valora del 1 al 5 las siguientes afirmaciones, siendo 1 totalmente en desacuerdo y 5 totalmente de acuerdo.

1.- El alcance y la agenda de la jornada fueron claros y comprensibles

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

2.- El diseño de la jornada fue adecuado para alcanzar los resultados esperados

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

3.- Las discusiones entre grupos fueron bien moderadas e inclusivas con todos los participantes.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

4.- El lenguaje fue adecuado y entendible

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

5.- ¿Qué fue lo que más te gustó de las jornadas?

6.- ¿Hay algún aspecto que mejorarías?



ANNEX II: Support material